

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vitginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,961	01/18/2002	Joseph R. Berger	44657-AAA-PCT-US/JPW	3958	
7590 06/17/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John P. White			TRAVERS, RUSSELL S		
Cooper & Dun	ham LLP				
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036			1617		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,961	BERGER, JOSEPH R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Russell Travers, J.D.,Ph.D	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 May 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 59-64 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>59-64</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>59-64</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	асык прушовион (ГТО-102)				

Art Unit: 1617

The request for continuing examination filed May 25, 2004 and the information disclosure statement filed May 15, 2004 have been received and entered into the file.

Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2004have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Claims 59-64 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Metcalf et al or Karim et al.

Applicants' attention is directed to Karim et al teaching oral administration of 10 milligrams of oxandrolone anticipating claims 59-61 and 63. Metcalf et al teach oxandrolone administration of dosages between 2.5 and 30 milligrams, anticipating claims 59-64. Although these reports fail to recite a carrier, the skilled artisan would have seen steroid administration absent carriers, or excipients, as highly unlikely based on the nature of such compounds.

Applicant's attention is drawn to <u>In re Dillon</u>, 16 USPQ2nd 1897 at 1900 (CAFC 1990). The court sitting <u>in banc</u> ruled that the recitation of a new utility for an old and well known composition does not render that composition new.

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Metcalf et al and Karim et al.

Metcalf et al and Karim et al teach the claimed oxandrolone compound as old and well known in various pharmaceutical dosage forms. This medicament is taught as useful for those therapies conventional for anabolic steroids. Claim 59-64, and the primary references, differ as to:

- 1) the recitation of carriers or excipients with these medicaments, and
- 2) administration of exactly 20 milligrams of this medicament.

Art Unit: 1617

Attention is directed to Karim et al teaching oral administration of 10 milligrams of oxandrolone anticipating claims 59-61 and 63. Metcalf et al teach oxandrolone administration of dosages between 2.5 and 30 milligrams, anticipating claims 59-64. Although these reports fail to recite a carrier, the skilled artisan would have seen steroid administration absent carriers, or excipients, as highly unlikely based on the nature of such compounds.

Claim 63 specifically requires a solid or liquid form. Metcalf et al and Karim et al employed the claimed compound in oral and in a non-disclosed manner, not specifically reciting another formulation. The skilled artisan would have seen oral compositions being either a solid, or a liquid; and the administration of these compounds orally as residing in the skilled artisan purview.

Newly presented rejections render presented rebuttal arguments moot.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Travers, J.D.,Ph.D whose telephone number is 571-272-0631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russell Travers, J.D, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617